Fore for

HO Dulletin 17 Feb 65 all Levelos Respir of giantology Working tall passed the point / too schem unformly workable Technology. The only They read is gette The Technology applied. Hym can't get the technology applied they you can't deliver What's penused. It's as suiple as that. If you can deliver get the technology applied. You can deliver what's

provise do The only them you can be upbraided for by "us students or per is "us resullo! The only truble spots occur only Where the There are in results." attacks from governments of uniogoches occur only where there are us results " ac bad results. " Therefore the road before Acientology is cleare and its ullimate success is assured of The technology is

applied. So its is the talk of the Close on Ong See, the NCO Ree, The Doft Case Supervisor, the , The Dof I and all staff members to Behoology applied. The gett the correct Teabustoxy applied

5. Hammering ont efficience inforced One Having the concer Technology; TWO . Knowing the technology Three &. Luowing it is correct For: \$. Veceling correctly the correct Technology FIVE \$. Copplying The Teahnology Sixte. Seeing that the Teahnology is correctly applied Seven F. Hammering and Jepistone incorrect technology () Sight: 8. Kuch at merrees applications Mine: 7. Closing the Loor any meones Tevitte. Closing the love on we one application.

One above has been done Two is works from a feet Caringland Ras Leen Jackewed w many places by many. Three is achieved his the individual applying the correctly technology in a coursel proper massuer and Observing that it works that Four is being done daily puccessfully in most parts of the world. Five is emissally accomplished daily.

Six is acheived by instructors, caused with. Seven is done by a few but is a weak point Sight is not worked on bardenoigh. Hine is impeded by the peasurable attitude of the not quite bright. Ven is seldme kne wil enne perocity. Deven, Eight, Mine and For are the only places Acientellogy can bog down m any area. The reasons for This are

put hard to find Ox weak certainly that it works in Three above can lead To weakness in Seven, Eight, Jaine and ten (b) Further, The not-too-bright have a bad point on the button, Suportance (The lower the I.Q., The more The individual is shall for the fruits A strenden . Who service faces of people make them defend thanselves against augther they confirmed, and seek to make it wrong, , food or back (e) The bank seeles to preserve burch and The good and perpetuale the bad.

Opus, we as Scientilogists and as an organization must be very alert to Seven, Eight 'Thing and Ven. Ja all the years I have been engaged in research I have theep my comme Somes wide open for research lala. I once had the idea that a group enter evolve truck. O'therd Ma Continy has Mororghly disabuse Dave of that idea. Willi

as I was to accept suggestions and data

only a handful of suggestions (less than twenty) had long run value and nope were major or basie: and when I did accept major or basic suggestions and used them, we went astray and I repented and It has not been a group effort I am Therefore Refe in assuming I well tust be in its refinement

The The other hand there have been thrusands and thousands of suggestions and writings Which if accepted and acled upon would have herelled in the camplele destruction I all ser work as well as the sawity Il pes. So I know what a group of people will do and how insome they will go in accepting unworkable Technology; By actual record the percentages are twenty to 100,000 that a group of human heigh good technology, as we could have gotten along without suggestions, then, we had better stall steel ourselves to continue to do so und that we have made it, This point will of course be attacked as "unpopular", "egotistical" and "undemocratic," It very well may be. But it is also a survival point. and I don't see that popular measures, self-abnegation and Semocracy have done auxiling for Man but push him further wito the skind, Currently, popularity endorses degraded to novels, self-abrigation has filled the Southand asian jungles with stone idoles and corpses, and Semorary has given us inflation and manne tax. As what so viluable about a social aspect

Juser & pg 3 Our technology has not been Sescovered by a group. True, if The group had not supported we in many ways I could not have discovered it either. But it remains that I with formation stages it was hot discovered by a group, Then group efforts, me eau safely assume, will not add to it, or successfully after it in the future. I can only say this now that I is done, There condins of ceness, group labulation or coordination of what has been done, which will be valuable - only so long as it does seek to alter basic principles and successful applications.

The contributions That were worth while in this of forence, The ication, and faturces on results and formance. These were great Embributions and were appreciated. Many Chaisands contributed within way and made us What we are, Discovery centribution was not however part of broad picture We will not state and

speculate here on why This was so on how I came to sise above The boule. We are dealing only in facts and The abour lis a fact -The group left to its own devices would not have evolved Accentilogy but will will drawaty aling of the banks would have Bet wipe of it out. Supporty the is the Josep Wax Han has never before evolved worleable Mental technology and leuphaining it is the vicious teahiwlogy ##

heded evolve - psychiatry, shock treatment, whips, durens, punchuent, ete ad infinition So realize that we have climbed out of the mud by whatever good buell and good sense and refuse to such back into it again. Dee chax Seven, Eseph June and Ven aborz are ruthlessly tollowed and we will sever be stopped. Relax them, get reasonable about it and we will period.

So far, Liespin alle in complete communeation with all suggestions, I have not faile d'an Seven Eight dosely. The hud very But is mot good enough for just myself and a few others to work at the Whenever This control as per Leven, Eight, Kine and Ten has been related The whole organ almos area has faile O. Wilness Elizabeth, N. J., Wichila The larly rigue HAS. They crashed

for only the four hearns only because I no longer did Leven, Eight kine and Ven. Ven, when they were all messed reasons "for failure. But ahead of that they ceased to beliver and that exact involved Them on other reasons. The common Denominator 1/a group is the breature bank. Thetais without banks have different responses. They Balance in Euvelope 2 Continues Contents of Engelope 15 on common. They agree chen only on bank principles. Kerson to person the bank is identical. As constructions ideas are individual and seldon ged broad agreement in a human group. Cen individual must rise above an avid craving for group
agreement to get get augthi decent dane. The bank - a greenend has been what has made Earla a Hell and of you were tooking

for Hell and found Earth, Is would certainly serve. War, famme, agony and disease has been the lot of Han. Right now the great government, A Saith and developed The means of fryme every Man, Wowasand Chied av the planet. What is Bank. That is the result of Collective Thought be greenent. (the Decent, pleasant Thurs on the planed come from individuel

actions and ideas that have some hosp gotten by the group I dow. us can rise above The daimation of the bank and Than, as a group of mon And freed beings acheme & freedom and reason It is only Attention don't do Seven, Eight, Kine and Ten actively, you are working for the Back dominated mot. Vor it will surely, surely (a) introduce movereel technology

and swear by it (b) apply technology as morreelly as possible, (c) open the door to any seventall Destructive idea and (de) Eucourage merreco application. It's The Bank That says the group is all and the individual with. It's The bank that says we was fail. So just druk play That game. Do Deven, Eight Thine and Ver and your will me burel out of your road all Thospelure thorns,

Here's an actual sentine had to morning a senjon executive had to market a feare supervisor mergene tell and the further further hashing and the spin : To have audilor of rem the Ruces X on Preclear & C adder & afterward the told work. of Instructor A wo weak on 3 Three alious and doesn't didn't really believe in Sever, Eight, June and Ven. Ag Instructor A telles, Caso Supervisor Vricen X didn't work an Preclear C." How this strikes directly at each of One to

Six alsove & in Preclear &, Endela Fiz B Justineton A and The Caso Supervisor. 28 spens the door to The introduction of new Technology" and To failure. What happened here? Instructor A diduk jump dern auditor B's throat, That's all That happened. This is what he should have Rome: Grobbed The andstors report and looked

This care diplosed by stepound white supervisor and the rest mined that mereces & were and Preclear C's VA Lo 25 TA divisions for the session but that near serge B Oedand Acd will a cogulin and aboudgied the Process While it still gave high TA me facture and spun hele

manufacture a landion 母Bis IQ. m examination turne Don't to be about 75. Instructor & was found to

have huge ideas of this how you must never insalidate anyone, even a limatre. The Caro Supervisor was found To be "Two brong with Admin To Africation", alleution to, cases." an all too typical, there's a typicon example. The Justicalor should have done seven, Eight, Time and Ven. This would have begun this way. " fluiditor B" That Procen & deduk work." Justinetier A: "What expelly

did you do wrong?" Instant allack. "Where's your auditor's report for the session? Good. Sook hers, ym were gette to alot of VA When ym stopped Bocers X. What ded you do?" Then the Caso Responsion pe wonedut have come close to a spin and all four of their would have retained their certainly. Du a year, I had four

in me small group wallaces, where the correct process recommended was rejerted not to have writed. But on review found that each net had (a) increased The TA(b) had been abandmed and (c) had been falsely reported as murrheable also, lespile this abase, in each of these four eases the recommended, which of the office which the entrement of the office which the entrement of the office which is a second of unght lat us up is not the government or the High Priests. gl's our failure to relain and practice our technology.

poor 2 pg/ Similar examples stick in melination and there are all the severe deadly as every two instructions in correct tophuology is Hubbed, They the resulting error, uncorrected in the auditor, is as perpetualed an every pe Was auditor audit Thereafter. Aspecarios. Dine and Four are even a more important un a course Chan un au 460 Case supervision L'esses. Here's au élample : l'rave reenmendation gels were TA as pes than any other student miles course! Figures 1 435 TA divisions

a session are reported. "O/course his model session is pour but It just a knack he has" is also included in the reconnendation. a careful review is undertaken because wobody at levels Oto IV is going to get that week VA on pes. It is found that themstudent was never trught to read an & heler TA dial! and in instruction Soserved his kandling of a weler and I was not discovered that he "overcompensate d'nervously, swinging The TA 2013 divisions beyond where it deeded

Lo go to cento the needle as "Sex". So everyone was about to throw away plandard processes and model session because this are student "got such remarkable TA. " They may read the reports and leatened to the brags and sever looked at the student, the pes u actual fact were water slightly less than average gain, impede D by a rough model session and misworded processes. Thus, what was waking the pas win (standertor actual scientistosy) was bedden under a lot of departures and errors.

Just 2 pg 4

I recall one student who was pguirrelig an an Cleadeury course and running a lot of off beat whole track The academy was in a state of electrification on all these wew experiences and weren't quickly brought under centrol and the student hurself never was given the works in Deven, Eight, Simo and Ten so Chey stuck. Subsequently, this student prevented another squiriel fine being stranghtened and and his wife died of

Just 2 pg 5 Cancer resulty from physical abuse a hard, touch instructor at chas nement and have salvaged two aguirrels and saved the life of a girl. Bull mo, students had a right to think do Quetever They pleased. proctice for alterning Scientiflegy) only Comes about fine non-emprehension is not of Reienthy but some early, contacts with an off beat humanoid practice which in its twen was not understood. When people can't get results from what

guest 2 pg6 they think is slandard practice, they were care be couted upon to squerello source degree. Hurs it is the The west trentle in the part two years came from orgs where an executive in each could not assimilate straight Scientelogy. huder instruction in Scientelogy they were mable to define tomes or Devenstrate flangles 4 principles and the segs where they were got wito plenty of trenches. and worse, it could not be strateblined and easily because neither are of these people could or would duplicate instructions. Have a lebaele resulted in two places, directly trace & to failures of matruction earlier.

Jan 2 pg 7 So proper instruction is vital. The Doft and his Justicelos, and all Scientifogy Justruetors word be vuersilers in getty Four, Seven, Eight, Thine and Van infull force who effective cetter. that one student, demband impossible Ungh he may seem and fur use to augne may get some day the The cause of intold upset because usbody was wherealed even to make ours! Accentilogy got have to him. With what we know now, there is no sludered we enroll who eaunof be properly

tramed. Us an instructor, one should be very aler to slow proper and showed turn the sluggards inside out persually, the system will do it, only you or me with our sleeves rolled up earl creek The back of bad studenting and we can only do it on an individual student, never an a whole class only. Kér slow = smethy is awful wrong. Take fact outin to correct &. Don't wait until next week, Foronties the key note. By then he's got other werse.
strele to him. If you can't graduale them with their good sense appealed to and wisdom skining, graduale them in such a mer 2 pg 9

state of shock they'll have night wares of they contemplate squirrely then experience will gradually bring about Three in them and they'll know better than to chase butterflies When they should be audity. When somebody survels, emsider be or she has joined up for the door diretime of the universe - never permit an "open minded "approach. If they's going to spirit les them quit fast. If they eurolled, Whey're aboard, and of they're aboard, they're here on the same terms as the real of us win or die in the attempt. Hever let them be half-winded about being Deientograts. The fuest degangations in history have been Stough, Ledicale O organiations. Hot me mamby panily burch of party - waist liletantes (5) bare ever made anything. It's Tough universe. The social venser makes it seem mild. But only the Tigers survive - and even They have a hard twie. We'll survive because we are tough and are dedicated. And with instruct When we do instruct smedaly Tiger. When we do that half windsty

Just 2 pg 11 we lose our chance and are afraid to Sfend, seared to enforce, we soul make, good scientsbyiel, and That lets everybody down. When Hers Vatty cake emes to us to be targht, turn That wandering Soutt in her eye into a fixed, dedicated glare and sheldwar and well all win. Humour her and we all die a little, The proper instruction altitude is, "you're here So yours a Accentilogist. How we're gointo make you who an expend audilor no miller what happens. Will rather have you dead Chan meapable.

The situation and lack of adequate of The situation and lack of adequate of Time and you see the extres we have to But we would have to bear it forever. The bigger we get the sure economics and Tund we will have to so our job. and the only things which prevail from Gette that his fast are sind oreas in from One to Ven, teep that six January buy Keep those concerned, and will beable to grow. Fast. Cludas we grow our shackles will be less and less Failij to keep throwo One to Ven, will make us grinsless.

(in Justineton or Supervisor or Executive must challuge de will ferveily instances A" unworksbilde." They burst uncover what did happen, what was run and what was done or not done. If you have made And Two, you can only the for all live sure fact the much sure fact the read.

Were not playing some minor game in Scientilogy It isn't ente or smethy to do for lack A smelh better. VLe Whole agonne D Juture of the planet, Severy Hay woman and child on is, and your own destroy for the vert endler trellins Hyears Depends on What you do here and know with and un Scientilogy.
This is a leadly

27

perious activity. and if we wiss stand gette out I the trop and, we may never again have another chance.

Lewember, This is our first chance to do so in all the endless trellins / HEars of the past. Don't well it mon because it seems unpleasant or unsocial to do Deven, Sign Juno and Ven

Do them and well win.

HUBBARD COMMUNICATIONS OFFICE

SAINT HILL MANOR, EAST GRINSTEAD, SUSSEX

HCO POLICY LETTER OF 7 FEBRUARY 1965

REMIMEO
STHIL STUDENTS
ASSOC/ORG SEC HAT
HCO SEC HAT
CASE SUP HAT
DS OF P HAT
DS OF T HAT
STAFF MEMBER HAT
MISSIONS

KEEPING SCIENTOLOGY WORKING SERIES 1

Note: Neglect of this PL has caused great hardship on staffs, has cost countless millions and made it necessary in 1970 to engage in an all-out international effort to restore basic Scientology over the world. Within 5 years after the issue of this PL, with me off the lines, violation had almost destroyed orgs. "Quickie grades" entered in and denied gain to tens of thousands of cases. Therefore actions which neglect or violate this policy letter are HIGH CRIMES resulting in Comm Evs on ADMINISTRATORS and EXECUTIVES. It is not "entirely a tech matter" as its neglect destroys orgs and caused a 2-year slump. IT IS THE BUSINESS OF EVERY STAFF MEMBER to enforce it.

SPECIAL MESSAGE

THE FOLLOWING POLICY LETTER MEANS WHAT IT SAYS.

IT WAS TRUE IN 1965 WHEN I WROTE IT. IT WAS TRUE IN 1970 WHEN I HAD IT REISSUED. I AM REISSUING IT NOW, IN 1980, TO AVOID AGAIN SLIPPING BACK INTO A PERIOD OF OMITTED AND QUICKIED FUNDAMENTAL GRADE CHART ACTIONS ON CASES, THEREBY DENYING GAINS AND THREATENING THE VIABILITY OF SCIENTOLOGY AND OF ORGS. SCIENTOLOGY WILL KEEP WORKING ONLY AS LONG AS YOU DO YOUR PART TO KEEP IT WORKING BY APPLYING THIS POLICY LETTER.

WHAT I SAY IN THESE PAGES HAS ALWAYS BEEN TRUE, IT HOLDS TRUE TODAY, IT WILL STILL HOLD TRUE IN THE YEAR 2000 AND IT WILL CONTINUE TO HOLD TRUE FROM THERE ON OUT.

NO MATTER WHERE YOU ARE IN SCIENTOLOGY, ON STAFF OR NOT, THIS POLICY LETTER HAS SOMETHING TO DO WITH YOU.

ALL LEVELS

KEEPING SCIENTOLOGY WORKING

HCO Sec or Communicator hat check on all personnel and all new personnel as taken on.

We have some time since passed the point of achieving uniformly workable technology.

The only thing now is getting the technology applied.

If you can't get the technology applied then you can't deliver what's promised. It's as simple as that. If you can get the technology applied you can deliver what's promised.

The only thing you can be upbraided for by students or pcs is "no results." Trouble spots occur only where there are "no results." Attacks from governments or monopolies occur only where there are "no results" or "bad results."

Therefore the road before Scientology is clear and its ultimate success is assured *if* the technology is applied.

So it is the task of the Assoc or Org Sec, the HCO Sec, the Case Supervisor, the D of P, the D of T and all staff members to get the correct technology applied.

Getting the correct technology applied consists of:

One: Having the correct technology.

Two: Knowing the technology.

Three: Knowing it is correct.

Four: Teaching correctly the correct technology.

Five: Applying the technology.

Six: Seeing that the technology is correctly applied.

Seven: Hammering out of existence incorrect technology.

Eight: Knocking out incorrect applications.

Nine: Closing the door on any possibility of incorrect technology.

Ten: Closing the door on incorrect application.

One above has been done.

Two has been achieved by many.

Three is achieved by the individual applying the correct technology in a proper manner and observing that it works that way.

Four is being done daily successfully in most parts of the world.

Five is consistently accomplished daily.

Six is achieved by Instructors and Supervisors consistently.

Seven is done by a few but is a weak point.

Eight is not worked on hard enough.

Nine is impeded by the "reasonable" attitude of the not-quite-bright.

Ten is seldom done with enough ferocity.

Seven, Eight, Nine and Ten are the only places Scientology can bog down in any area.

The reasons for this are not hard to find. (a) A weak certainty that it works in Three above can lead to weakness in Seven, Eight, Nine and Ten. (b) Further, the not-too-bright have a bad point on the button Self-Importance. (c) The lower the IQ, the more the individual is shut off from the fruits of observation. (d) The service facs of people make them defend themselves against anything they confront, good or bad, and seek to make it wrong. (e) The bank seeks to knock out the good and perpetuate the bad.

Thus, we as Scientologists and as an organization must be very alert to Seven, Eight, Nine and Ten.

In all the years I have been engaged in research I have kept my comm lines wide open for research data. I once had the idea that a group could evolve truth. A third of a century has thoroughly disabused me of that idea. Willing as I was to accept suggestions and data, only a handful of suggestions (less than twenty) had long-run value and *none* were major or basic; and when I did accept major or basic suggestions and used them, we went astray and I repented and eventually had to "eat crow."

On the other hand there have been thousands and thousands of suggestions and writings which if accepted and acted upon would have resulted in the complete destruction of all our work as well as the sanity of pcs. So I know what a group of people will do and how insane they will go in accepting unworkable "technology." By actual record the percentages are about twenty to 100,000 that a group of human beings will dream up bad technology to destroy good technology. As we could have gotten along without suggestions, then, we had better steel ourselves to continue to do so now that we have made it. This point will of course be attacked as "unpopular," "egotistical" and "undemocratic." It very well may be. But it is also a survival point. And I don't see that popular measures, self-abnegation and democracy

have done anything for man but push him further into the mud. Currently, popularity endorses degraded novels, self-abnegation has filled the Southeast Asian jungles with stone idols and corpses, and democracy has given us inflation and income tax.

Our technology has not been discovered by a group. True, if the group had not supported me in many ways I could not have discovered it either. But it remains that if in its formative stages it was not discovered by a group, then group efforts, one can safely assume, will not add to it or successfully alter it in the future. I can only say this now that it is done. There remains, of course, group tabulation or coordination of what has been done, which will be valuable—only so long as it does not seek to alter basic principles and successful applications.

The contributions that were worthwhile in this period of forming the technology were help in the form of friendship, of defense, of organization, of dissemination, of application, of advices on results and of finance. These were great contributions and were and are appreciated. Many thousands contributed in this way and made us what we are. Discovery contribution was not however part of the broad picture.

We will not speculate here on why this was so or how I came to rise above the bank. We are dealing only in facts and the above is a fact—the group left to its own devices would not have evolved Scientology but with wild dramatizations of the bank called "new ideas" would have wiped it out. Supporting this is the fact that man has never before evolved workable mental technology and emphasizing it is the vicious technology he *did* evolve—psychiatry, psychology, surgery, shock treatment, whips, duress, punishment, etc., ad infinitum.

So realize that we have climbed out of the mud by whatever good luck and good sense, and *refuse* to sink back into it again. See that Seven, Eight, Nine and Ten above are ruthlessly followed and we will never be stopped. Relax them, get reasonable about it and we will perish.

So far, while keeping myself in complete communication with all suggestions, I have not failed on Seven, Eight, Nine and Ten in areas I could supervise closely. But it's not good enough for just myself and a few others to work at this.

Whenever this control as per Seven, Eight, Nine and Ten has been relaxed, the whole organizational area has failed. Witness Elizabeth, NJ; Wichita; the early organizations and groups. They crashed only because I no longer did Seven, Eight, Nine and Ten. Then, when they were all messed up, you saw the obvious "reasons" for failure. But ahead of that they ceased to deliver and *that* involved them in other reasons.

The common denominator of a group is the reactive bank. Thetans without banks have different responses. They only have their banks in common. They agree then only on bank principles. Person to person the bank is identical. So constructive ideas are *individual* and seldom get broad agreement in a human group. An individual must rise *above* an avid craving for agreement from a humanoid group to get anything decent done. The bank-agreement has been what has made Earth a Hell—and if you

were looking for Hell and found Earth, it would certainly serve. War, famine, agony and disease has been the lot of man. Right now the great governments of Earth have developed the means of frying every man, woman and child on the planet. That is bank. That is the result of Collective Thought Agreement. The decent, pleasant things on this planet come from *individual* actions and ideas that have somehow gotten by the Group Idea. For that matter look how we ourselves are attacked by "public opinion" media. Yet there is no more ethical group on this planet than ourselves.

Thus each one of us can rise above the domination of the bank and then, as a group of freed beings, achieve freedom and reason. It is only the aberrated group, the mob, that is destructive.

When you don't do Seven, Eight, Nine and Ten actively, you are working for the bank-dominated mob. For it will surely, surely (a) introduce incorrect technology and swear by it, (b) apply technology as incorrectly as possible, (c) open the door to any destructive idea and (d) encourage incorrect application.

It's the bank that says the group is all and the individual nothing. It's the bank that says we must fail.

So just don't play that game. Do Seven, Eight, Nine and Ten and you will knock out of your road all the future thorns.

Here's an actual example in which a senior executive had to interfere because of a pc spin: A Case Supervisor told Instructor A to have Auditor B run Process X on Preclear C. Auditor B afterwards told Instructor A that "It didn't work." Instructor A was weak on Three above and didn't really believe in Seven, Eight, Nine and Ten. So Instructor A told the Case Supervisor "Process X didn't work on Preclear C." Now this strikes directly at each of One to Six above in Preclear C, Auditor B, Instructor A and the Case Supervisor. It opens the door to the introduction of "new technology" and to failure.

What happened here? Instructor A didn't jump down Auditor B's throat, that's all that happened. This is what he *should* have done: Grabbed the auditor's report and looked it over. When a higher executive on this case did so, she found what the Case Supervisor and the rest missed: that Process X *increased* Preclear C's TA to 25 TA divisions for the session but that near session end, Auditor B Qed-and-Aed with a cognition and abandoned Process X while it still gave high TA and went off running one of Auditor B's own manufacture which nearly spun Preclear C. Auditor B's IQ on examination turned out to be about 75. Instructor A was found to have huge ideas of how you must never invalidate anyone, even a lunatic. The Case Supervisor was found to be "too busy with admin to have any time for actual cases."

All right, there's an all too typical example. The *Instructor* should have done Seven, Eight, Nine and Ten. This would have begun this way. Auditor B: "That Process X didn't work." Instructor A: "What exactly did *you* do wrong?" Instant attack. "Where's your auditor's report for the session? Good. Look here, you were getting a lot of TA

when you stopped Process X. What did you do?" Then the pc wouldn't have come close to a spin and all four of these would have retained their certainty.

In a year, I had four instances in *one* small group where the correct process recommended was reported not to have worked. But on review found that each one had: (a) increased the TA, (b) had been abandoned and (c) had been falsely reported as unworkable. Also, despite this abuse, in each of these four cases the recommended, correct process cracked the case, yet they were reported as *not having worked!*

Similar examples exist in instruction and these are all the more deadly as every time instruction in correct technology is flubbed, then the resulting error, uncorrected in the auditor, is perpetuated on every pc that auditor audits thereafter. So Seven, Eight, Nine and Ten are even more important in a course than in supervision of cases.

Here's an example: A rave recommendation is given a graduating student "because he gets more TA on pcs than any other student on the course!" Figures of 435 TA divisions a session are reported. "Of course his Model Session is poor but it's just a knack he has" is also included in the recommendation. A careful review is undertaken because *nobody* at Levels 0 to IV is going to get that much TA on pcs. It is found that this student was never taught to read an E-Meter TA dial! And no Instructor observed his handling of a meter and it was not discovered that he "overcompensated" nervously, swinging the TA 2 or 3 divisions beyond where it needed to go to place the needle at "set." So everyone was about to throw away standard processes and Model Session because this one student "got such remarkable TA." They only read the reports and listened to the brags and never *looked* at this student. The pcs in actual fact were making slightly less than average gain, impeded by a rough Model Session and misworded processes. Thus, what was making the pcs win (actual Scientology) was hidden under a lot of departures and errors.

I recall one student who was squirreling on an Academy course and running a lot of offbeat whole track on other students after course hours. The Academy students were in a state of electrification on all these new experiences and weren't quickly brought under control, and the student himself never was given the works on Seven, Eight, Nine and Ten so they stuck. Subsequently, this student prevented another squirrel from being straightened out and his wife died of cancer resulting from physical abuse. A hard, tough Instructor at that moment could have salvaged two squirrels and saved the life of a girl. But no, students had a right to do whatever they pleased.

Squirreling (going off into weird practices or altering Scientology) only comes about from noncomprehension. Usually the noncomprehension is not of Scientology but some earlier contact with an offbeat humanoid practice which in its turn was not understood.

When people can't get results from what they think is standard practice, they can be counted upon to squirrel to some degree. The most trouble in the past two years came from orgs where an executive in each could not assimilate straight Scientology. Under

instruction in Scientology they were unable to define terms or demonstrate examples of principles. And the orgs where they were got into plenty of trouble. And worse, it could not be straightened out easily because neither one of these people could or would duplicate instructions. Hence, a debacle resulted in two places, directly traced to failures of instruction earlier. So proper instruction is vital. The D of T and his Instructors and all Scientology Instructors must be merciless in getting Four, Seven, Eight, Nine and Ten into effective action. That one student, dumb and impossible though he may seem and of no use to anyone, may yet someday be the cause of untold upset because nobody was interested enough to make *sure* Scientology got home to him.

With what we know now, there is no student we enroll who cannot be properly trained. As an Instructor, one should be very alert to slow progress and should turn the sluggards inside out personally. No *system* will do it, only you or me with our sleeves rolled up can crack the back of bad studenting and we can only do it on an individual student, never on a whole class only. He's slow = something is awful wrong. Take *fast* action to correct it. Don't wait until next week. By then he's got other messes stuck to him. If you can't graduate them with their good sense appealed to and wisdom shining, graduate them in such a state of shock they'll have nightmares if they contemplate squirreling. Then experience will gradually bring about Three in them and they'll *know* better than to chase butterflies when they should be auditing.

When somebody enrolls, consider he or she has joined up for the duration of the universe—never permit an "open-minded" approach. If they're going to quit let them quit fast. If they enrolled, they're aboard, and if they're aboard, they're here on the same terms as the rest of us-win or die in the attempt. Never let them be halfminded about being Scientologists. The finest organizations in history have been tough, dedicated organizations. Not one namby-pamby bunch of pantywaist dilettantes have ever made anything. It's a tough universe. The social veneer makes it seem mild. But only the tigers survive—and even they have a hard time. We'll survive because we are tough and are dedicated. When we do instruct somebody properly he becomes more and more tiger. When we instruct half-mindedly and are afraid to offend, scared to enforce, we don't make students into good Scientologists and that lets everybody down. When Mrs. Pattycake comes to us to be taught, turn that wandering doubt in her eye into a fixed, dedicated glare and she'll win and we'll all win. Humor her and we all die a little. The proper instruction attitude is, "You're here so you're a Scientologist. Now we're going to make you into an expert auditor no matter what happens. We'd rather have you dead than incapable."

Fit that into the economics of the situation and lack of adequate time and you see the cross we have to bear.

But we won't have to bear it forever. The bigger we get the more economics and time we will have to do our job. And the only things which can prevent us from getting that big fast are areas in from One to Ten. Keep those in mind and we'll be able to grow. Fast. And as we grow, our shackles will be less and less. Failing to keep One to Ten will make us grow less.

So the ogre which might eat us up is not the government or the High Priests. It's our possible failure to retain and practice our technology.

An Instructor or Supervisor or Executive *must* challenge with ferocity instances of "unworkability." They must uncover what *did* happen, what *was* run and what *was* done or not done.

If you have One and Two, you can only acquire Three for all by making sure of all the rest.

We're not playing some minor game in Scientology. It isn't cute or something to do for lack of something better.

The whole agonized future of this planet, every man, woman and child on it, and your own destiny for the next endless trillions of years depend on what you do here and now with and in Scientology.

This is a deadly serious activity. And if we miss getting out of the trap now, we may never again have another chance.

Remember, this is our first chance to do so in all the endless trillions of years of the past. Don't muff it now because it seems unpleasant or unsocial to do Seven, Eight, Nine and Ten.

Do them and we'll win.

L. RON HUBBARD FOUNDER